

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/889,942	10/15/2001	Yrjo Leppanen	6009-4611	4597
7590 12/08/2003			EXAMINER	
Morgan & Finnegan			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· .			
English to the second s	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/889,942	LEPPANEN ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott Kastler	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2003 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (void abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	·			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  I 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);				
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	idered but does NOT place the			
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4 and 7-10.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<del></del> •			
10. Other:		M			
		Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1742			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/889,942

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that Hudd teaches away from the use of underpressure for the connection of plates to the mold and that Hudd does not teach various other features of the instant claims are not persuasive because the final rejection is based upon a combination of references where Hudd is cited merely to teach that both cooling pipes and copper mold material was known in the art at the time the invention was made in oprder to improve chill mold perfomance. Applicant's further argument that since Hudd is a continuous casting mold and GB'645 is a chill mold, these are non-analogus art references with respect to each other is also not persuasive because both references deal with the cooling and solidification of molten metals and one of ordinary skill in the casting arts would have found it obvious to employ components shown to provide improved solidification of metals in continuous casting in other types of casting as well.